UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	v. George Harold Yerkes, Jr.	Case No. 1:11 MJ 406	
		form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
	Part I – Fi	indings of Fact	
(1)		in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	1156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for	
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.	
	an offense for which a maximum prison term o	f ten years or more is prescribed in:	
	a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.	
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in a minor victim	volves:	
	the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.0	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250	
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed ν or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumperson or the community. I further find that defendant	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe nt has not rebutted that presumption.	
	Alternativ	ve Findings (A)	
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	it has committed an offense	
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s		
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.	
(1)	Alternative There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	—	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	ger the safety of another person or the community.	
	Part II – Statement of	the Reasons for Detention	
evidence	a preponderance of the evidence that:	ne detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing tigation of Rule 20 transfer proceedings to this district.	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<u> </u>	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	November 22, 2011	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
		Name and Title	Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge